

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 * * *

8 KMI ZEOLITE, INC. et al.,

9 Plaintiff(s),

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE
12 INTERIOR, et al.,

13 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-CV-2038 JCM (NJK)

ORDER

14
15 Presently before the court is defendant Nye County's motion to enforce settlement. (ECF
16 No. 153). Plaintiff ABC Recycling Industries, Inc. ("ABC") and Robert Ford (collectively
17 "plaintiffs") have filed a response (ECF No. 155), to which Nye County replied (ECF No. 156).

18 Also before the court is Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe's report and recommendation.
19 (ECF No. 162). Plaintiffs have not filed an objection and the time to do so has passed.

20 **I. FACTS**

21 ABC initiated this quiet title action against Nye County and sixteen other defendants to
22 determine the rightful owner of three tracts of real property located in Nye County. (ECF No. 55).
23 In December 2018, plaintiffs and Nye County entered into a settlement agreement providing that
24 ABC file a motion to dismiss Nye County with prejudice upon the consummation of a road right-
25 of-way easement on the property. (ECF No. 153).

26 On December 28, 2018, plaintiffs and Nye County recorded the easement. *Id.* However,
27 plaintiffs did not file a motion to dismiss Nye County with prejudice. Now, Nye County moves
28

1 to enforce the settlement agreement. *Id.* Magistrate Judge Koppe recommends granting Nye
2 County's motion. (ECF No. 162).

3 **II. LEGAL STANDARD**

4 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
5 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects
6 to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo
7 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."
8 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

9 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at
10 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149
11 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
12 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*
13 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review
14 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no
15 objections were made).

16 **III. DISCUSSION**

17 Plaintiffs have not objected to the report and recommendation. Nevertheless, the court
18 engages in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt the magistrate judge's findings.

19 Federal courts have inherent authority to enforce settlement agreements between parties in
20 pending litigation. *In re City Equities Anaheim, Ltd.*, 22 F.3d 954, 957 (9th Cir. 1994). When
21 interpreting and enforcing settlement agreements, courts look to state law and corresponding
22 principles. *Jones v. McDaniel*, 717 F.3d 1062, 1067 (9th Cir. 2013). In Nevada, a settlement
23 agreement is an enforceable contract when there has been an offer and acceptance, meeting of the
24 minds, and consideration. *May v. Anderson*, 121 Nev. 668, 672 (Nev. 2005).

25 The parties have executed a complete, written settlement agreement and have agreed to
26 exchange adequate consideration. *See* (ECF No. 153). Therefore, the settlement agreement is an
27 enforceable contract.
28

1 Nevada's longstanding policy is to interpret and enforce contracts based on the written
2 language, reading words in their usual and ordinary meaning. *Ellison*, 993 P.2d at 1263; *Traffic*
3 *Control Servs., Inc. v. United Rentals Nw., Inc.*, 87 P.3d 1054, 1059 (Nev. 2004). Section five of
4 the agreement provides:

5 Upon the consummation of the road right-of-way easement described herein, ABC
6 shall immediately dismiss with prejudice all claims and counter claims asserted in
7 the Consolidated Cases regarding consolidated case 2:15-cv-46-JCM-PAL (Quiet
Title Suit) against COUNTY, with each of the Parties to bear its own costs and
attorney's fees, except as otherwise stated in this Agreement.

8 (ECF No. 153). Under this provision, once the parties record the road right-of-way easement,
9 ABC must file a motion to dismiss Nye County with prejudice. The remaining terms of the
10 agreement do not contain language limiting or providing exceptions to plaintiffs' obligation. *See*
11 (ECF No. 153).

12 Because the parties have already recorded the easement, plaintiffs' failure to file a motion
13 to dismiss Nye County constitutes a breach of contract. Therefore, the court will adopt the
14 magistrate judge's recommendation and enforce the settlement agreement in accordance with its
15 terms.

16 **IV. CONCLUSION**

17 Accordingly,

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Magistrate Judge Koppe's
19 report and recommendation (ECF No. 162) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in its entirety.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Nye County's motion to enforce settlement (ECF NO.
21 153) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Nye County be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED
23 with prejudice.

24 DATED June 26, 2019.

25 
26 _____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE